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ABSTRACT
Perception, cognition, and social interaction depend upon coordinated neural activity. This coordination operates within noisy, overlapping, and distributed neural networks operating at multiple timescales. These networks are built upon a structural scaffolding with intrinsic neuroplasticity that changes with development, aging, disease, and personal experience. In this article, we begin from the perspective that successful interregional communication relies upon the transient synchronization between distinct low-frequency (<80 Hz) oscillations, allowing for brief windows of communication via phase-coordinated local neuronal spiking. From this, we construct a theoretical framework for dynamic network communication, arguing that these networks reflect a balance between oscillatory coupling and local population spiking activity and that these two levels of activity interact. We theorize that when oscillatory coupling is too strong, spike timing within the local neuronal population becomes too synchronous; when oscillatory coupling is too weak, spike timing is too disorganized. Each results in specific disruptions to neural communication. These alterations in communication dynamics may underlie cognitive changes associated with healthy development and aging, in addition to neurological and psychiatric disorders. A number of neurological and psychiatric disorders—including Parkinson’s disease, autism, depression, schizophrenia, and anxiety—are associated with abnormalities in oscillatory activity. Although aging, psychiatric and neurological disease, and experience differ in the biological changes to structural gray or white matter, neurotransmission, and gene expression, our framework suggests that any resultant cognitive and behavioral changes in normal or disordered states or their treatment are a product of how these physical processes affect dynamic network communication.
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A complete model of cognitive function and dysfunction must account for a diversity of factors including, but not limited to, brain structure and genetics, rapid neuronal temporal dynamics and neuroplasticity, and developmental and sociological considerations (1,2). Cognition is critically reliant upon the dynamic, parallel coordination of large groups of neurons separated by substantial neural distances. This coordination is surprisingly flexible but remarkably precise (3), especially considering behavior emerges in an inherently noisy electrochemical neuronal environment (4).

We propose a theory for how disruptions to dynamic network communication can lead to the neurocognitive changes observed during development and aging, as well as in neurological and psychiatric disorders. Our theory is predicated on evidence that neuronal oscillations bias the probability of spiking such that action potentials are more likely to occur during periods of interregional oscillatory coherence. We extend this by arguing that such oscillatory-mediated spike synchrony would feed back onto the local field potential (LFP), increasing LFP coherence. In the pathologic case, we theorize that this mechanism results in an exaggerated state of overcoupling. To break cycles of LFP-induced spike synchrony causing reinforced LFP coherence causing even stronger spike synchrony, some controlling or interfering mechanism needs to be introduced. We posit that one mechanism may be neural noise, defined as temporally decorrelated spikes occurring during nonpreferred LFP oscillatory phases.

This dynamic network communication framework differs from purely structural or neurochemical accounts in that, although neural architecture and neurochemistry play a critical role in cognitive functioning by providing the scaffolding upon which the dynamic communication system is built, structure and chemistry alone are insufficient for understanding neuronal dynamics (2,5); ultimately, cognition reduces to the dynamics of neural communication.

In this article, we begin by reviewing the evidence for the causal role of oscillatory interregional communication in support of healthy cognition and present an accounting for the possible neurophysiological basis for such a communication mechanism. We then explore the consequences of alterations to network communication dynamics, including both failures...
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Oscillatory Communication

Oscillations Bias Spiking Activity

Neural oscillations are (usually) lower frequency (< 80–100 Hz) and play a crucial role in neural communication, cognition, and behavior (6,7) (though there exists rhythmic activity at higher frequencies, such as hippocampal ripples). Research into the functional role of scalp electroencephalography (EEG) and magnetoencephalography oscillations shows that ongoing visual cortical oscillatory phase (8–10) and power (11,12) predict performance on a variety of tasks (13–16). For example, people are more likely to correctly respond to target visual stimuli when those stimuli appear during the preferred phase or power of ongoing oscillatory activity, perhaps due to ongoing oscillatory phase biasing neuronal excitability (9).

In more causal direct tests on spiking activity, experiments have shown that applying relatively weak, low-amplitude oscillatory electric fields bias neuronal firing. This finding, known as ephaptic coupling (6,17), shows that subthreshold changes in extracellular electric fields affect the transmembrane voltage of nearby neurons. Experimental manipulations using exogenous oscillatory electric fields have found that low-frequency oscillatory stimulation (< 2 Hz) can entrain cortical—or even hippocampal—neurons in proportion to the stimulation intensity and behavioral state of the animal (19). Similarly, oscillatory gamma (~30 Hz) hippocampal stimulation affects cornu ammonis 1 pyramidal spike timing, locking spikes to the phase of the stimulation oscillation (19). The authors of that report argue that a decoherent extracellular field could lead to less temporally correlated spiking, which could act as a “safety mechanism to prevent hypersynchronization.” This interaction between the coherence of the oscillatory field and spiking activity is a critical element in our framework, and we will refer to it throughout this article.

Synchronization and Neural Communication

There are a variety of methods for assessing interregional neural communication depending on the measurement scale and signal source. The LFP, which is the extracellular electrical potential recorded invasively using penetrating electrodes, is influenced by a number of factors including neuronal geometry and laminar depth. Electrical activity recorded either on the cortical surface, such as with electrocorticography (ECoG), or from noninvasive scalp EEG is dominated by postsynaptic potentials. Increases in local population firing rate are reflected by elevated broadband activity in the LFP and ECoG (20–23). This broadband shift is particularly evident in the high-gamma (70–200 Hz) range and less in the low-frequency range, possibly because the lower frequency portion of the PSD is masked by a simultaneous reduction in the oscillatory components of the LFP, which are less directly linked to neural firing rates and may reflect local excitatory-inhibitory circuit motifs (24) (Figure 1).

Interregional communication can be inferred, at the level of the LFP, from the interregional oscillatory phase coherence between two regions (25,26). In functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), the temporal profile of activity in a seed region may be correlated with the activity in other regions (27). Such methods can be generalized as a statistical assessment of how much information the activity in one region provides about the activity in a second region. These methods are used to index neural communication; interestingly, fMRI blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal correlations may be driven

**Figure 1.** Example power spectral changes. All four plots contain an exemplar power spectral density (PSD) consisting of a 1/f process plus an oscillation centered around 12 Hz (solid black lines). This PSD is then modified in four different ways (dashed colored lines). (A) In this example, the PSD in black has been modified by simple translation, adding power at all frequencies (blue line). (B) Here, the background 1/f process remains unaffected; all that has changed is that the 12-Hz oscillation has been reduced in power (red line). (C) A single manipulation—rotation of the PSD about a pivot frequency (40 Hz)—results in a simultaneous decrease in low-frequency power and an increase in high-frequency power (purple line). (D) Here two separate effects—translation of the PSD and reduction of 12-Hz oscillatory power—have a similar effect as the single rotation process described in (C) in that low-frequency power is reduced and high-frequency power is increased [c.f. Miller et al. (21)].
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by low-frequency LFP oscillatory coupling, which, in turn, affects high gamma activity in the coupling regions (28).

A mechanism for neuronal communication through oscillatory coherence has been proposed (29–31). This theory, dubbed communication through coherence, is predicated on the fact that synaptic input arriving at a postsynaptic neuron is most likely to cause an action potential if the presynaptic activity arrives during the peak of the neuron’s excitability relative to an oscillatory membrane potential. In other words, the local oscillatory field biases the probability of neuronal firing, enhancing action potential precision (32) and/or computation (24). This means that the complex interrelationship between oscillatory coupling and local spiking may be necessary for coordinating brain dynamics (33,34).

Phase/Amplitude Coupling

Although the communication through coherence theory is strictly referring to spike/field coupling, given that broadband high gamma likely reflects local population activity and is modulated with the phase of oscillatory LFP, it is plausible that this phase/amplitude coupling (PAC) may be a mesoscale analog of spike/field coupling and ephaptic coupling [when appropriate analytical considerations are accounted for (35)]. We propose that PAC provides a bridge between local microscale (36,37) and systems-level macroscale neuronal ensembles (29,31,38,39) allowing for dynamic network communication (40–43). This assertion is supported by the fact that PAC tracks behavior, including learning (44,45), working memory performance (46), attention (39), and reward processing (47,48) across species (49) [see Johnson and Knight (50) for a review]. Recent evidence suggests that PAC might operate over short (<500 msec) timescales, providing a mechanism for interregional coordination between the oscillatory local field and broadband gamma (51).

Low-frequency oscillations may be nested within other frequency bands (38,52–55) that themselves interact with one another. The carrier oscillation to which broadband high-gamma likely reflects local population activity and is modulated with the phase of oscillatory LFP, it is plausible that this phase/amplitude coupling (PAC) may be a mesoscale analog of spike/field coupling and ephaptic coupling [when appropriate analytical considerations are accounted for (35)]. We propose that PAC provides a bridge between local microscale (36,37) and systems-level macroscale neuronal ensembles (29,31,38,39) allowing for dynamic network communication (40–43). This assertion is supported by the fact that PAC tracks behavior, including learning (44,45), working memory performance (46), attention (39), and reward processing (47,48) across species (49) [see Johnson and Knight (50) for a review]. Recent evidence suggests that PAC might operate over short (<500 msec) timescales, providing a mechanism for interregional coordination between the oscillatory local field and broadband gamma (51).

Low-frequency oscillations may be nested within other frequency bands (38,52–55) that themselves interact with one another. The carrier oscillation to which broadband high-gamma likely reflects local population activity and is modulated with the phase of oscillatory LFP, it is plausible that this phase/amplitude coupling (PAC) may be a mesoscale analog of spike/field coupling and ephaptic coupling [when appropriate analytical considerations are accounted for (35)]. We propose that PAC provides a bridge between local microscale (36,37) and systems-level macroscale neuronal ensembles (29,31,38,39) allowing for dynamic network communication (40–43). This assertion is supported by the fact that PAC tracks behavior, including learning (44,45), working memory performance (46), attention (39), and reward processing (47,48) across species (49) [see Johnson and Knight (50) for a review]. Recent evidence suggests that PAC might operate over short (<500 msec) timescales, providing a mechanism for interregional coordination between the oscillatory local field and broadband gamma (51).

MEASURING OSCILLATIONS AND SPIKING

Power Spectral Density

Given the importance of the distinction between oscillatory and spiking portions of the LFP plays in our conceptualization, we will outline the current understanding of the relationship between the LFP and spiking activity. First, the LFP power spectrum contains a mixture of signals, including a background, broadband 1/f (65–68) process that can be described by two parameters: its slope and offset (69), as well as narrowband peaks that rise above this background 1/f activity (70). This means that the oscillatory components must be measured not absolutely but relative to this background 1/f activity, the offset and slope of which, we argue, contain meaningful information about the neural activity.

This broadband 1/f is different from an oscillation (71), which are narrowband spectral processes within the PSD (70) and which may have a separate neurophysiological mechanism from spiking activity. Low-frequency oscillations have high power and can be recorded at the level of scalp EEG (72). In contrast, high-gamma activity has significantly lower amplitude and is more difficult to detect due to the lower relative signal-to-noise (72). The frequency of a narrowband oscillation can be different from the spike rate of individual neurons within that network (73,74). Example PSDs are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Inferring Spiking from the Power Spectrum

Power in the low frequencies (<20 Hz) is negatively correlated with both population spiking activity and fMRI BOLD signal,
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Figure 2. Evidence for spectral slope changes. (A) During sleep, the human subdural electrocorticography power spectral density (PSD) has a steep negative slope that flattens (whitens) during wakefulness. (B) Simulations of human electrocorticography PSD suggest that PSD slope changes arise as a function of the dendritic response to an input. As the strength of the input increases, more neurons fire simultaneously, forcing a greater local population to become refractory within a shorter time window, increasing the rise time. Thus, for weaker inputs with greater rise times, the slope of the PSD is flatter (blue), whereas for stronger inputs with smaller rise times, the slope of the PSD is steeper (red). Thus, within our framework, if the dendritic response is driven by ephaptic coupling, the stronger the coupling, the steeper the slope; with weaker ephaptic coupling, spike times are less temporally correlated and the slope is flatter. [Reproduced with permission from Freeman and Zhai (67).]

inputs with smaller rise times, the slope of the PSD is steeper (red). Thus, within our framework, if the dendritic response is driven by ephaptic coupling, the stronger the coupling, the steeper the slope; with weaker ephaptic coupling, spike times are less temporally correlated and the slope is flatter. [Reproduced with permission from Freeman and Zhai (67).]

whereas increases in broadband high-gamma activity are positively correlated with spiking and BOLD (75). Often, event-related decreases in low-frequency power are often observed simultaneously with increases in broadband high gamma (21,42,51,72,76–79). While high-gamma activity is difficult to detect at the level of noninvasive scalp EEG, task-related decreases in low-frequency power are readily observed (72).

To give an example of how the 1/f and oscillatory components might interact, note that with increased cortical excitation there is often a concomitant decrease in low-frequency power. This suggests that there is either: 1) a push/pull relationship between broadband gamma and oscillatory power if these metrics are separable, or 2) a direct inverse relationship if they reflect a core underlying phenomenon. The difference between these two theories is critical for understanding the physiology generating this phenomenon. By way of illustration, an apparent decrease in low-frequency power can be caused by a drop in the amplitude of the underlying oscillation (Figure 1B, red line) or by a rotation of the entire PSD about a point (Figure 1C, purple line). In the former, the low-frequency oscillatory power has dropped while the background 1/f remains the same, whereas in the latter, the entire PSD shape has changed, with the rotation leading to a high-gamma power increase and a low-frequency power decrease. In the latter scenario, the magnitude of the narrowband oscillation remains unchanged relative to the background 1/f (21,22,80). This exemplifies the importance and need for measuring and modeling each of these electrophysiological processes independently: 1/f slope and offset, as well as narrowband oscillatory activity relative to the 1/f background. This is because the 1/f background will affect estimates of the oscillatory amplitude, and the oscillatory components will affect estimates of the 1/f slope and offset.

Oscillations and Spiking Noise

The fact that population spiking and the oscillatory frequency of a neuronal population can be different from the spiking frequency of individual neurons in the neural region generating the oscillation (73,74) and that some oscillatory processes may have nonneuronal (glial) origins that affect behavior and neural communication (81,82) suggests that spiking and oscillations are distinct. However, as noted above, oscillations and spiking interact with externally applied electric fields to modulate network activity in humans (83), nonhuman primates (84), and rats (7).

While the 1/f offset likely reflects population spiking activity, there is little research on the neural origin of the spectral slope. However, computational models provide evidence that the spectral slope reflects temporal correlations in the population spiking activity (85,86) and that the slope changes with behavioral state (87). More specifically, Freeman and Zhai (67) simulated the ECoG signal to identify plausible physiological mechanisms that lead to changes in power spectral slope and offset. As noted by Freeman and Zhai (67), EEG and ECoG signals are not simply a summation of action potentials but rather the output of the dendrites that are synaptically driven by action potentials. This response can be characterized by an impulse function that has a rapid rise and prolonged return to background levels due to, they argue, “the reverberation of firing among the thousands of neurons transmitting and re-transmitting to each other,” in the local population. This is reflected by slowed rise rate and quickened decay rate. In their words, “when the strength of the driving impulse input is increased, [this] forces more neurons to fire and then become refractory.” They found that the PSD slope flattens with increasing rise rate but is unaffected by decay rate. Thus, we argue that the flattened power spectral slope is related to higher background rates of firing decoupled from an oscillatory carrier frequency—noise—driven by greater local positive excitatory feedback possibly caused by increased excitation/inhibition ratio [neural noise as defined by, e.g., Rubenstein and Merzenich (88)].

This result shows that stronger inputs cause more neurons to fire within a shorter time window, putting a greater proportion of the population into a refractory period. This means that relatively weak inputs result in more temporal variability in the input response, resulting in a flatter spectrum (Figure 2B, blue). For stronger inputs, the PSD is steeper (Figure 2B, red). Therefore, within our framework, if the dendritic response is being modulated by ephaptic coupling,
stronger ephaptic coupling would lead to a stronger dendritic response and thus a steeper PSD; the weaker the ephaptic coupling, the flatter the PSD. That is, local population spiking can be inferred from the PSD offset, while spiking statistics and noise may be inferred from the PSD slope (86,89,90).

**NEURAL COMMUNICATION PATHOLOGY**

**Pathologic Overcoupling**

In our theoretical framework, overcoupling, or hypersynchronization, is caused by an enhanced spike/field coupling process where the oscillatory local field causes the population spike timing to lock to the oscillation, which would feed back onto the local field. Recent research has demonstrated that patients with Parkinson’s disease, off medication, show pathologically strong motor cortical beta/high-gamma PAC (91–93) [though the relationship between oscillatory beta and broadband high-gamma activity through the frontal cortex, thalamus, and basal ganglia is more complex (94)]. This pathologic PAC is reduced when a subthalamic deep brain stimulator (DBS) is turned on, resulting in prompt motor improvement in the patient (93). DBS stimulation shuts off the subthalamic nucleus altering basal ganglia firing patterns. Here, we would argue that the loss of dopaminergic modulation of the basal ganglia-thalamocortical network causes a state of pathologic network overcoupling (95). Thus, a possible mechanism of DBS is to induce enhanced high-frequency neural activity of the basal ganglia-thalamocortical network to disrupt abnormal excessive coupling (96) and regularize neuronal firing (97), a phenomenon that has been called an informational lesion (98). We should also note that there is emerging evidence of the involvement of thalamic structures in control of cortical oscillations in both attention via the pulvinar (99) and memory via the anterior thalamic nucleus (45). These findings suggest that thalamic modulation might provide a venue for treatment of deficits outside the motor domain.

Of particular interest is promising new evidence for the use of DBS in treating psychiatric disorders, such as depression, anxiety, or obsessive-compulsive disorder (100,101). In the case of depression and anxiety, patients have a tendency to ruminate, a metacognitive process wherein patients overly attend to negative or distressing emotions (102). From a dynamic network communication perspective, we argue that life events strengthen or weaken connections between brain regions, and via normal Hebbian learning mechanisms (103), networks that are more commonly activated are strengthened. In this framework, depression and anxiety might be conceived of as an overcoupling of the default mode network (104,105), perhaps caused by reinforcement via experience and ruminating.

From this perspective, we hypothesize that the underlying mechanism of DBS efficacy in these psychiatric diseases—which are quite different in etiology from Parkinson’s disease—is to some degree similar to Parkinson’s disease: the introduction of noisy neural activity into the pathologically overcoupled network via DBS permits normal network communication by decoupling a pathologically overcoupled network (106). This DBS mechanism may short-circuit normal, e.g., serotonergic modulation of network activity, which acts within the cortex to decrease functional network connectivity (107). Importantly, in Parkinson’s disease the anatomical target for DBS, the subthalamic nucleus, is clearly identifiable. In contrast, the key anatomical target for DBS in depression is the subgenual anterior cingulate cortex, which is not as well defined based on magnetic resonance imaging alone. Thus, one could argue that presurgical mapping of the anterior cingulate to identify regions of pathologic coupling could be used to guide DBS implantation for depression.

**Pathological Undercoupling**

On the opposite end of the network communication disorder spectrum from pathologic overcoupling is pathologic undercoupling. In our framework, the precise spike timing relative to network oscillatory activity is degraded with noisy temporarily decorrelated spikes that occur during nonfacilitatory oscillatory phases. Here, one prominent example might be the neural noise hypothesis for age-related cognitive decline (108), wherein noise in this interpretation is operationalized as extraneous spikes not involved in encoding or communication. Viewed again from a dynamic network communication perspective, these noisy spikes serve to decouple the synchronization mechanism between brain regions, leading to communication and or representational errors that, in turn, result in age-related cognitive deficits.

From a neurobiological standpoint, excitatory/inhibitory balance helps control runaway excitation and may lead to errors in encoding and/or interregional communication (109). Similar to the pathologic overcoupling case, this hypothesis can be extended to account for the behavioral symptoms seen in psychiatric or neurological disorders. For example, both autism and schizophrenia have been shown to be associated with reduced neuronal signal-to-noise (110–112) or, more directly, increased neural noise possibly caused by disease-related alterations in the ratio of excitation to inhibition (88) or overall reduced inhibition (113). Given that the slope of the PSD may reflect the level of neural noise, we predict that schizophrenia and autism may show flattened LFP power spectra relative to control subjects.

A recent study showed that in an autism spectrum disorder group, alpha/gamma PAC was reduced compared with matched control subjects (114). Note that this is in contrast to Parkinson’s disease patients who show increased beta/high gamma PAC before DBS (91). This PAC reduction in autism supports the pathologic noise hypothesis in that temporally decorrelated noise, by definition, means more spikes occurring during nonpreferred phases of the low-frequency oscillation. Importantly, within the dynamic network communication framework, increased neural noise (decreased PAC) should also result in decreased interregional oscillatory coherence, which is what was shown (114).

This noise-induced pathologic undercoupling model may also explain some of the neurophysiological findings in relation to schizophrenia. Behaviorally, the majority of patients with schizophrenia show cognitive deficits in several domains, ranging from attention and working memory to social cognition (115). One proposal for the underlying cause for this broad array of cognitive impairments is that patients with schizophrenia suffer from a core working memory deficit (116). This
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has been referred to as a rate limiting deficit, in that a generalized inability to hold or maintain information in working memory results in a multitude of downstream cognitive effects. Within our proposed framework, such working memory deficits would result from an impairment of a prefrontal-dependent working memory network that may include sensory cortical and subcortical regions (117–119). This network dysfunction might arise from an inability to maintain oscillatory communication due to increased neural noise and deceased PAC.

Neurophysiologically, schizophrenia is associated with decreased frontal beta coherence (120,121), decreased dopamine-mediated frontal lobe signal-to-noise (112), and broadband oscillatory decreases in signal-to-noise (122). Pharmacologically reducing (excitatory) glutamate release using metabotropic glutamate 2/3 receptor agonists improves schizophrenia symptoms (both positive and negative) (123), suggesting that reducing spiking activity has antipsychotic effects. Within our proposed framework, spurious, noisy spiking disrupts the ability for oscillatory communication networks to form via destructive resonance. As noted above, schizophrenia is associated with decreased frontal coherence and decreased signal-to-noise. Thus, metabotropic glutamate 2/3 receptor agonists, which reduce excitatory glutamate release, result in a reduction of temporally decorrelated spiking, which improves the spike/field coupling, resulting in improved oscillatory coherence and communication by improving signal-to-noise. In computational models, random noisy spiking has been shown to destabilize network dynamics, which has been proposed to underlie the behavioral symptoms of schizophrenia (124). These results align with our dynamic network communication hypothesis in that a reduction of noisy spiking activity would re-normalize pathologic coupling and improve network communication and PAC.

SUMMARY

Neuropsychiatric disorders have a long history of being viewed predominantly from a pharmacologic perspective. We suggest that a electrophysiological perspective might provide a fuller understanding of the gamut of neuropsychiatric disorders and provide a path toward novel treatment interventions aimed at normalizing effective network communication. Recent advances in noninvasive stimulation methods, such as transcranial alternating current stimulation, transcranial random noise simulation, and transcranial magnetic stimulation (125,126), as well as the introduction of smart, closed-loop invasive neurostimulators (127–129), may prove to be useful tools for dynamically modulating pathologic network activity in disabling neuropsychiatric conditions [though their utility in this domain remains uncertain (130)].
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